# UPPER GASTROINTESTINAL BLEEDING EVALUATION IN OUT-OF-HOSPITAL EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE

GRBAVAC J<sup>1,2</sup>; Zelić N<sup>1</sup>; Mikleušević A<sup>1</sup>; Šerić A<sup>1</sup>

- 1.Centre for Emergency Medicine, Osijek-Baranja County, Osijek, Croatia
- 2.Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Clinical Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine Osijek, Osijek

## Introduction

- Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) is a very common medical condition in emergency medicine with various etiologies and presentations. It is defined as blood loss originating proximal to the ligament of Treitz.
- The most common manifestation of UGIB is melena or hematemesis.
- Risk stratification of patients with UGIB in out-of-hospital emergency medical service (EMS) using preendoscopic risk scores can aid physicians in disposition decision-making.
- The goal of this review is to assess the predictive value of preendoscopic risk scores in EMS and their suitability in out-of-hospital EMS.

## Review

- The vast majority (80%–90%) of episodes of acute UGIB are non-variceal causes.
- The most common etiologies include gastro-duodenal peptic ulcer (20%–50%), gastroduodenal erosions (8%–15%), erosive oesophagitis (5%–15%), and Mallory– Weiss tear (8%–15%).
- UGIB resolves spontaneously in up to 80% of patients and approximately 15% of patients require medical intervention.
- Patients with UGIB can be divided into a high risk and a low-risk group. The gold standard for division between these two groups is endoscopic intervention, which is not available in out-of-hospital EMS.



Posebna zahvala: Zavodu za hitnu medicinu Osječko-baranjske županije na pomoći oko financiranja sudjelovanja na simpoziju Hitne medicine u Osijeku

## **Glasgow-Blatchford score**

- The Glasgow-Blatchford score (GBS) was developed to predict in-hospital rebleeding, death, as well as the need for treatment.
- The GBS consist of blood urea levels, hemoglobin concentration that are both unavailable in out-of-hospital care, systolic blood pressure, pulse, presentation with melena or syncope, and comorbidities.
- The GBS ranges from 0 to 23, with higher scores indicating higher likelihood of needing endoscopic intervention

Rockall Scoring System					
Variable	Score=0	Score =1	Score =2	Score =3	
Age (years)	<60	60-79	>80		
Comorbidity			Congestive heart failure, ischemic heart disease	Renal failure, liver disease, metastatic disease	
Shock	No shock	Pulse > 100 bpm	Systolic BP <100 mmHg		
Source of bleeding	Mallory-Weiss Tear	All other diagno- ses: e.g., esophagi- tis, gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, varices	Malignancy		
Stigmata of recent bleeding	None		Adherent clot or spurting vessel		

Figure 1: Rockall scoring system; https://pulmonarychronicles.com/index.php/pulmonarychronicles

### Rockall score

- Other risk scores are also in use, such as Rockall which is more practical for out-of-hospital usage because it does not require laboratory tests.
- Total score is calculated by simple addition. A score less than 3 carries good prognosis but total score more than 8 carries high risk of mortality.
- Both scores are highly sensitive but have very low specificity.

#### Reference List

- Khamaysi, I. and Gralnek, I. M. (2013) "Acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) initial evaluation and management," Best practice & research. Clinical gastroenterology, 27(5), pp. 633–638. doi: 10.1016/j.bpg.2013.09.002.
- Ramaekers, R. et al. (2016) "The predictive value of preendoscopic risk scores to predict adverse outcomes in emergency department patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding: A systematic review," Academic emergency medicine: official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine, 23(11), pp. 1218–1227. doi: 10.1111/acem.13101.
- Samuel, R. et al. (2018) "Evaluation and management of Non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding," Disease-a-month: DM, 64(7), pp. 333–343. doi: 10.1016/j.disamonth.2018.02.003.



12.-14. svibnja 2023. OSIJEK

## Conclusion

Overall, guidelines for UGIB provide a comprehensive and evidence-based approach to the management of this challenging condition in the EMS. Future prospective studies are needed to develop robust new scores for use in out-of-hospital EMS assessment.

	Score
≥6.5 et < 8	2
≥8 et < 10	3
≥10 et < 25	4
≥25	6
≥12 et < 13	1
≥10 et < 12	3
<10	6
≥10 et < 12	1
<10	6
≥100 et < 109	1
≥90 et < 100	2
<90	3
pulse ≥100	1
Melena	1
Syncope	2
Hepatic disease	2
Cardiac failure	2
	≥8 et < 10 ≥10 et < 25 ≥25 ≥12 et < 13 ≥10 et < 12 <10 ≥10 et < 12 <10 ≥10 et < 109 ≥90 et < 100 <90 pulse ≥100 Melena Syncope Hepatic disease

A total score more than 8 carries high risk justifying ICU admission

Figure 2: Glasgow-Blatchford score;

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233392580

Author credentials: Josip Grbavac M.D Centre for Emergency Medicine, Osijek-Baranja County, Osijek, Croatia jgrbavacdj@gmail.com +385919416462